

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 AMBER KELLEHER,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 JOHN E. KELLEHER, et al.,
11 Defendants.

12
13
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 Case No. [13-cv-05450-MEJ](#)

17 **ORDER CONTINUING CMC**

18 **ORDER RE: DISCOVERY AND
19 PENDING MOTIONS**

20 This matter is currently scheduled for a Case Management Conference on May 1, 2014. In
21 the parties' joint statement, Plaintiff states that she will seek to add the Estate of Ann Wells as an
22 additional party-defendant as soon as the six-month bar to such claims under Georgia probate law
23 runs, and no later than August 1, 2014. Plaintiff also states that she may seek leave to re-plead her
24 claim for Actual Fraudulent Transfer against the Deans, and would do so by August 1, 2014.

25 In the joint statement, the parties state that no discovery has been conducted, yet there are
26 now two summary judgment motions pending: Plaintiff filed her Motion for Partial Summary
27 Judgment (Liability Only) re: Constructive Fraudulent Transfer on April 8, 2014 (Dkt. No. 42);
28 and the Deans filed their Motion for Summary Judgment on April 24, 2014 (Dkt. No. 72).
Further, as part of his Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion, Defendant John Kelleher filed a request
pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(d) to take discovery and obtain evidence sufficient
to establish his defenses to this action. Dkt. No. 67.

Given that Plaintiff may seek to add an additional party with claims that will likely be
similar or identical to her claims against the Deans, and the parties have not conducted discovery,
the Court finds it prudent to defer ruling on any summary judgment motions until all parties have

1 appeared in this action and the parties have had time to conduct discovery. Accordingly, the Court
2 ORDERS as follows:

- 3) 1) The May 1, 2014 Case Management Conference is VACATED.
- 4) 2) John Kelleher's Rule 56(d) motion is GRANTED.
- 5) 3) The parties' pending summary judgment motions are DENIED WITHOUT
6) PREJUDICE.¹
- 7) 4) The parties shall commence discovery in compliance with the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure.
- 8) 5) Plaintiff shall file any Second Amended Complaint by August 1, 2014. If Plaintiff
9) intends to re-plead any causes of action that have already been dismissed, she shall
10) instead file a motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15.
- 11) 6) The settlement conference referral remains in effect and the parties shall appear for
12) the conference with Chief Magistrate Judge Laporte as scheduled.
- 13) 7) So that the parties can focus on settlement negotiations and discovery, no summary
14) judgment motions may be filed without further order from the Court.
- 15) 8) The Court shall conduct a Case Management Conference on August 21, 2014 at
16) 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom B, 15th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco,
17) California. The parties shall file an updated joint statement by August 14, 2014.

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19
20 Dated: April 28, 2014

21
22 
23 MARIA-ELENA JAMES
24 United States Magistrate Judge

25
26
27
28 ¹ Plaintiff's application for an Order enlarging her time to file her Response to the Deans' Motion
for Summary Judgment is DENIED AS MOOT.